

# Taith Evaluation and Scoring Criteria

<b>Applicant</b>	
<b>Date</b>	
<b>Reference</b>	
<b>Fund</b>	<b>Yes / No</b>

<b>Quality of Research Opportunity</b>	
<b>Networking/Development Opportunity</b>	
<b>Well-Being and Future Generations Act</b>	
<b>Total (out of maximum of 18)</b>	

## Quality of the Research and Innovation

<b>Exceptional</b>	<b>6</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Should be funded as a matter of the very highest priority.</li> <li>• The proposal's evidence and justification are fully and consistently provided, demonstrating a very strong rational for support.</li> <li>• The proposal will meet several of the UWTS D R&amp;I priorities with a very high likelihood of achieving the started outcomes.</li> </ul>
<b>Excellent</b>	<b>5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Should be funded as a matter of priority but does not merit the very highest priority rating.</li> <li>• The proposal's evidence and justification are fully and consistently provided, demonstrating an excellent rational for support.</li> <li>• The proposal will meet several of the UWTS D R&amp;I priorities with a high likelihood of achieving the started outcomes.</li> </ul>
<b>Very Good</b>	<b>4</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work that demonstrates high international standards of scholarship, originality, quality and significance.</li> <li>• The proposal's evidence and justification are consistently provided, demonstrating a very good rational for support.</li> <li>• The proposal will meet several of the UWTS D R&amp;I priorities with a very good likelihood of achieving the started outcomes.</li> </ul>
<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work that demonstrates national or developing standards of scholarship, originality, quality and significance.</li> <li>• The proposal's evidence and justification are outlined, demonstrating a satisfactory rational for support, which could however be further developed.</li> <li>• The proposal will meet at least two of the UWTS D R&amp;I priorities with a satisfactory likelihood of achieving the started outcomes. Some weakness will be evident.</li> </ul>
<b>Not Competitive</b>	<b>2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not suitable for funding.</li> <li>• The proposal's evidence and justification are outlined, but do not offer a convincing rational for support.</li> <li>• The proposal will meet at least one of the UWTS D R&amp;I priorities but shows some weaknesses or flaws in meeting the started outcomes.</li> </ul>
<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>1</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not suitable for funding.</li> <li>• The proposal's evidence and justification are outlined, but do not offer a convincing rational for support.</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposal will meet at least one of the UWTSD R&amp;I priorities but shows considerable weaknesses and flaws, and are very unlikely to meet the stated outcomes</li> </ul>
--	--	--

## Development Opportunity

<b>Exceptional</b>	<b>6</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed work presents a very strong and appropriate development and/or networking opportunity for the applicant.</li> </ul>
<b>Excellent</b>	<b>5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed work presents a strong and appropriate development and/or networking opportunity for the applicant.</li> </ul>
<b>Very Good</b>	<b>4</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed work presents a good and appropriate development and/or networking opportunity for the applicant, although this will not be as substantial as those scoring 5 and 6.</li> </ul>
<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed work presents a clear development and/or networking opportunity for the applicant, although this may be better supported by other means or after further staff development has been put in place.</li> </ul>
<b>Not Competitive</b>	<b>2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed work does not present a clear development and/or networking opportunity for the applicant.</li> </ul>
<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>1</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed work does not present a clear development and/or networking opportunity for the applicant.</li> </ul>

## Well being and Future Generations Act

<b>Exceptional</b>	<b>6</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposal's delivery under Wales Well Being and Future Generations Act are clear and convincing and are likely to deliver considerable returns.</li> </ul>
<b>Excellent</b>	<b>5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposal's delivery under Wales Well Being and Future Generations Act are clear and convincing and likely to deliver a good returns.</li> </ul>
<b>Very Good</b>	<b>4</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposal's delivery under Wales Well Being and Future Generations Act are clear and convincing and likely to deliver some returns.</li> </ul>
<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposal's delivery arrangements are clear under Wales Well Being and Future Generations Act. Further support is likely to be required to deliver a return.</li> </ul>
<b>Not Competitive</b>	<b>2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposal's delivery arrangements under Wales Well Being and Future Generations Act are not clearly defined or are unlikely to lead to effective outcomes.</li> </ul>
<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>1</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposal's delivery arrangements are not clearly defined or are unlikely to lead to effective outcomes under Wales Well Being and Future Generations Act.</li> </ul>

<b>Scored and approved by:</b>	
<b>Signature:</b>	
<b>Date:</b>	